## Algorithms



#### Linear programming

What is it? Problem-solving model for optimal allocation of scarce resources, among a number of competing activities that encompasses:

- Shortest paths, maxflow, MST, matching, assignment, ... 🔨 can take an entire
- Ax = b, 2-person zero-sum games, ...

course on LP

maximize	13A	+	23B		
subiect	5A	+	15B	≤	480
to the	4A	+	4B	≤	160
constraints	35A	+	20B	≤	1190
	А	,	В	≥	0

#### Why significant?

Fast commercial solvers available.

Ex: Delta claims that LP Widely applicable problem-solving model.
 Saves \$100 million per year.

• Key subroutine for integer programming solvers.

### **Applications**

Agriculture. Diet problem.

Computer science. Compiler register allocation, data mining. Electrical engineering. VLSI design, optimal clocking. Energy. Blending petroleum products. Economics. Equilibrium theory, two-person zero-sum games. Environment. Water quality management. Finance. Portfolio optimization. Logistics. Supply-chain management. Management. Hotel yield management. Marketing. Direct mail advertising. Manufacturing. Production line balancing, cutting stock. Medicine. Radioactive seed placement in cancer treatment. Operations research. Airline crew assignment, vehicle routing. Physics. Ground states of 3-D Ising spin glasses. Telecommunication. Network design, Internet routing. Sports. Scheduling ACC basketball, handicapping horse races.



http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

## LINEAR PROGRAMMING

#### brewer's problem

simplex algorithm implementations reductions



SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN

## Toy LP example: brewer's problem

#### Small brewery produces ale and beer.

• Production limited by scarce resources: corn, hops, barley malt.



• Recipes for ale and beer require different proportions of resources.



### Brewer's problem: linear programming formulation

#### Linear programming formulation.

- Let *A* be the number of barrels of ale.
- Let *B* be the number of barrels of beer.

	ale		beer			
maximize	13A	+	23B			profits
subject	5A	+	15B	≤	480	corn
to the	4A	+	4B	≤	160	hops
constraints	35A	+	20B	≤	1190	malt
	Α		В	>	0	



### Toy LP example: brewer's problem

Brewer's problem: choose product mix to maximize profits.

					34	barrels × 35 lbs [ amount of ava /	malt = 1190 ailable malt ]
	ale	beer	corn	hops	malt	profit	
	34	0	179	136	1190	\$442	
	0	32	480	128	640	\$736	
goods are	19.5	20.5	405	160	1092.5	\$725	
divisible	12	28	480	160	980	\$800	
	?	?				> \$800 ?	
X			Ŵ	Ŕ	ALE S POUNDS CORN 4 GUNCES HOPS 35 POUNDS MAIT	15 POUNDS 4 OUNCES 1 20 POUNDS	CORN HOPS MALT
corn (480	lbs) hops (2	160 oz) malt	t (1190 lbs)	\$13 p	profit per barrel	\$23 profit pe	er barrel

### Brewer's problem: feasible region

Inequalities define halfplanes; feasible region is a convex polygon.



### Brewer's problem: objective function



### Brewer's problem: geometry





#### Standard form linear program

Goal. Maximize linear objective function of n nonnegative variables,

linear means no x<sup>2</sup>, xy, arccos(x), etc.

subject to *m* linear equations.

• Input: real numbers *a*<sub>*ij*</sub>, *c*<sub>*j*</sub>, *b*<sub>*i*</sub>.

• Output: real numbers x<sub>j</sub>.

	pri	mal prob	matrix version					
maximize	c1 x1 +	<b>C</b> <sub>2</sub> <b>X</b> <sub>2</sub>	+ +	Cn Xn			maximize	$\mathbf{C}^{T} \mathbf{X}$
	a11 x1 +	$a_{12} x_2$	+ +	aın xn	=	b۱	subject	Ax = b
subject	a <sub>21</sub> x <sub>1</sub> +	$a_{22} x_2$	+ +	$a_{2n} x_n$	=	b2	to the	
constraints	:	:	:	:		:	constraints	$x \ge 0$
	a <sub>m1</sub> x <sub>1</sub> +	<b>a</b> <sub>m2</sub> <b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	+ +	$a_{mn}x_n$	=	bm		
	<b>x</b> 1 ,	<b>X</b> 2	, ,	Xn	≥	0		

#### Caveat. No widely agreed notion of "standard form."

### Converting the brewer's problem to the standard form

#### Original formulation.

maximize	13A	+	23B		
subject	5A	+	15B	≤	480
to the	4A	+	4B	≤	160
constraints	35A	+	20B	≤	1190
	А	,	В	≥	0

170

12

#### Standard form.

- Add variable Z and equation corresponding to objective function.
- Add slack variable to convert each inequality to an equality.
- Now a 6-dimensional problem.

maximize	Z												
	13A	+	23B							-	Ζ	=	0
subject	5A	+	15B	+	Sc							=	480
constraints	4A	+	4B			+	Sн					=	160
	35A	+	20B					+	Ѕм			=	1190
	А	,	В	,	Sc	,	$S_{C}$	,	Sм			≥	0

#### Geometry

Inequalities define halfspaces; feasible region is a convex polyhedron.

A set is convex if for any two points *a* and *b* in the set, so is  $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)$ .

An extreme point of a set is a point in the set that can't be written as  $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)$ , where *a* and *b* are two distinct points in the set.



Warning. Don't always trust intuition in higher dimensions.

13

## Geometry (continued)

Extreme point property. If there exists an optimal solution to (P),

then there exists one that is an extreme point.

- Good news: number of extreme points to consider is finite.
- Bad news : number of extreme points can be exponential!







brewer's problem

simplex algorithm

implementations
 reductions

Robert Sedgewick | Kevin Wayne http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

Algorithms



Robert Sedgewick | Kevin Wayne

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

## LINEAR PROGRAMMING

14

brewer's problem

simplex algorithm

implementations
 reductions

### Simplex algorithm

#### Simplex algorithm. [George Dantzig, 1947]

- Developed shortly after WWII in response to logistical problems, including Berlin airlift.
- Ranked as one of top 10 scientific algorithms of 20th century.

#### Generic algorithm.

never decreasing objective function

- Start at some extreme point.
- Pivot from one extreme point to an adjacent one.
- Repeat until optimal.

#### How to implement? Linear algebra.



no algebra needed

## Simplex algorithm: pivot 1

maximize	Z				pivot								basis = { $S_C$ , $S_H$ , $S_M$
	13A	+	23B						-	Ζ	=	0	A = B = 0
subject	5A	+	(15B)	+ Sc							-	480	Z = 0
constraints	4A	+	4B		+	Sн					=	160	$S_{\rm C} = 480$ $S_{\rm H} = 160$
	35A	+	20B				+	$S_M$			=	1190	$S_{\rm M} = 1190$
	А	,	В	, Sc	,	Sн	,	Sм			≥	0	

substitute B = (1/15) (480 - 5A - S<sub>c</sub>) and add B into the basis (rewrite 2nd equation, eliminate B in 1st, 3rd, and 4th equations) which basic variable does B replace?

maximize	Z										basis = { B, $S_H$ , $S_M$ }
	(16/3) A			-	(23/15) S <sub>C</sub>			– Z =	=	-736	$A = S_C = 0$
subject	(1/3) A	+	В	+	(1/15) S <sub>C</sub>			=	-	32	Z = 736
constraints	(8/3) A			-	$(4/15) S_{C} + S_{F}$	ł		=	=	32	B = 32 $S_{\mu} = 32$
	(85/3) A			-	(4/3) S <sub>C</sub>	+	Sм	=	=	550	$S_{M} = 550$
	А	,	В	,	Sc , S⊦	ι,	Sм	2	≥	0	

### Simplex algorithm: initialization

maximize	Z													basis = { $S_C$ , $S_H$ , $S_M$ }
	13A	+	23B							-	Ζ	=	0	A=B=0
subject	5A	+	15B	+	$S_C$							=	480	Z = 0 S <sub>2</sub> = 480
constraints	4A	+	4B			+	Sн					=	160	$S_{\rm C} = 400$ $S_{\rm H} = 160$
	35A	+	20B					+	$S_M$			=	1190	$S_{M} = 1190$
	А	,	В	,	$S_{\text{C}}$	,	Sн	,	Ѕм			≥	0	

#### one basic variable per row

#### Initial basic feasible solution.

- Start with slack variables  $\{S_C, S_H, S_M\}$  as the basis.
- Set non-basic variables *A* and *B* to 0.
- 3 equations in 3 unknowns yields  $S_C = 480$ ,  $S_H = 160$ ,  $S_M = 1190$ .

## Simplex algorithm: basis

A basis is a subset of *m* of the *n* variables.

#### Basic feasible solution (BFS).

• Set n - m nonbasic variables to 0, solve for remaining m variables.

basic feasible

solution

- Solve *m* equations in *m* unknowns.
- If unique and feasible  $\Rightarrow$  BFS.
- BFS ⇔ extreme point.



### Simplex algorithm: pivot 1

				posit	ive coe	ficient			
maximize	Z			pivot					basis = { $S_C$ , $S_H$ , $S_M$ }
	13A	+	23B			-	Z =	0	A = B = 0
subject	5A	+	(15B + Sc				=	480	Z = 0
constraints	4A	+	4B	+ S <sub>H</sub>			=	160	$S_{\rm C} = 480$ $S_{\rm H} = 160$
	35A	+	20B		+ SM	1	=	1190	$S_{M} = 1190$
	А	,	B, S <sub>C</sub>	, Sн	, S₁	1	≥	0	

- Q. Why pivot on column 2 (corresponding to variable *B*)?
- Its objective function coefficient is positive.
   (each unit increase in *B* from 0 increases objective value by \$23)
- Pivoting on column 1 (corresponding to *A*) also OK.
- Q. Why pivot on row 2?
- Preserves feasibility by ensuring RHS  $\geq$  0.
- Minimum ratio rule: min { 480/15, 160/4, 1190/20 }.

### Simplex algorithm: pivot 2

maximize	Z	pivot				basis = { B, $S_H$ , $S_M$ }
	(16/3) A	/	- (23/15) S <sub>C</sub>	– Z =	-736	$A = S_C = 0$
subject	(1/3) A +	В	+ (1/15) S <sub>C</sub>	=	32	Z = 736
constraints	(8/3) A		– (4/15) S <sub>C</sub> + S <sub>H</sub>	=	32	B = 32
	(85/3) A		- (4/3) S <sub>C</sub> +	S <sub>M</sub> =	550	$S_{\rm H} = 52$ $S_{\rm M} = 550$
	Α,	В	, S <sub>C</sub> , S <sub>H</sub> ,	S <sub>M</sub> ≥	0	

substitute A = (3/8) (32 + (4/15) Sc - S<sub>H</sub>) and add A into the basis which basic variable does A replace? which basic variable does A replace?

maximize	Z			S.		2 5		7	_	800	basis = { A, B, $S_M$ }
				30	_	2 JH		- 2	-	-800	$S_{C} = S_{H} = 0$
subject		В	+	(1/10) S <sub>C</sub>	+	(1/8) Sh			=	28	Z = 800
constraints	А		-	(1/10) S <sub>C</sub>	+	(3/8) S <sub>H</sub>			=	12	B = 28 A = 12
			-	(25/6) S <sub>C</sub>	-	(85/8) S <sub>H</sub> +	Ѕм		=	110	$S_{M} = 110$
	А	, В	,	Sc	,	Sн ,	Sм		≥	0	
											22

#### Simplex algorithm: optimality

- Q. When to stop pivoting?
- A. When no objective function coefficient is positive.
- Q. Why is resulting solution optimal?
- A. Any feasible solution satisfies current system of equations.
- In particular:  $Z = 800 S_C 2 S_H$
- Thus, optimal objective value  $Z^* \leq 800$  since  $S_C$ ,  $S_H \geq 0$ .
- Current BFS has value  $800 \Rightarrow$  optimal.

maximize	Z										basis = { A, B, S <sub>M</sub> }
			-	Sc	-	2 SH		– Z =	=	-800	$S_C = S_H = 0$
subject		В	3 +	(1/10) S <sub>C</sub>	+	(1/8) S <sub>H</sub>		=	-	28	Z = 800
constraints	А		-	(1/10) S <sub>C</sub>	+	(3/8) S <sub>H</sub>		=	=	12	B = 28 $A = 12$
			-	(25/6) S <sub>C</sub>	-	(85/8) S <sub>H</sub> +	Sм	=	=	110	$S_{M} = 110$
	А	, В	Β,	Sc	,	Sн ,	Sм	2	≥	0	





### Simplex tableau

Encode standard form LP in a single Java 2D array.

maximize	Z											
	13A	+	23B							-	Z =	0
subject	5A	+	15B	+	$S_{\text{C}}$						=	480
constraints	4A	+	4B			+	Sн				=	160
	35A	+	20B					+	Sм		=	1190
	А	,	В	,	$S_{C}$	,	Sн	,	Sм		≥	0

5	15	1	0	0	480
4	4	0	1	0	160
35	20	0	0	1	1190
13	23	0	0	0	0

initial simplex tableaux

b

0

0

А

С

m

#### Simplex tableau

Simplex algorithm transforms initial 2D array into solution.



Simplex algorithm: initial simplex tableaux



### Simplex algorithm: Bland's rule

Find entering column q using Bland's rule: index of first column whose objective function coefficient is positive.

	0	q	m+n
0			
р		+	
m		+	



### Simplex algorithm: min-ratio rule

Find leaving row *p* using min ratio rule. (Bland's rule: if a tie, choose first such row)





29



#### Simplex algorithm: bare-bones implementation

Execute the simplex algorithm.





### Simplex algorithm: running time

Remarkable property. In typical practical applications, simplex algorithm terminates after at most 2(m + n) pivots.

"Yes. Most of the time it solved problems with m equations in 2m or 3m steps that was truly amazing. I certainly did not anticipate that it would turn out to be so terrific. I had had no experience at the time with problems in higher dimensions, and I didn't trust my geometrical intuition. For example, my intuition told me that the procedure would require too many steps wandering from one adjacent vertex to the next. In practice it takes few steps. In brief, one's intuition in higher dimensional space is not worth a damn! Only now, almost forty years from the time when the simplex method was first proposed, are people beginning to get some insight into why it works as well as it does. " — George Dantzig 1984

### Simplex algorithm: running time

Remarkable property. In typical practical applications, simplex algorithm terminates after at most 2(m + n) pivots.

Pivoting rules. Carefully balance the cost of finding an entering variable with the number of pivots needed.

- No pivot rule is known that is guaranteed to be polynomial.
- Most pivot rules are known to be exponential (or worse) in worst-case.

#### Smoothed Analysis of Algorithms: Why the Simplex Algorithm Usually Takes Polynomial Time

Daniel A. Spielman<sup>\*</sup> Department of Mathematics M.I.T. Cambridge, MA 02139 spielman@mit.edu Shang-Hua Teng<sup>†</sup> Akamai Technologies Inc. and Department of Computer Science University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign steng@cs.uiuc.edu

#### Simplex algorithm: degeneracy





Cycling. Get stuck by cycling through different bases that all correspond to same extreme point.

- Doesn't occur in the wild.
- Bland's rule guarantees finite # of pivots.

choose lowest valid index for entering and leaving columns

#### Simplex algorithm: implementation issues

#### To improve the bare-bones implementation.

- Avoid stalling.
- Numerical stability.
- requires advanced math

requires artful engineering

- Detect infeasibility.
- run "phase I" simplex algorithm
- Detect unboundedness. no leaving row

Best practice. Don't implement it yourself!

Basic implementations. Available in many programming environments. Industrial-strength solvers. Routinely solve LPs with millions of variables. Modeling languages. Simplify task of modeling problem as LP.







a benchmark production planning model solved using linear programming would have taken 82 years to solve in 1988, using the computers and the linear programming algorithms of the day. Fifteen years later—in 2003—this same model could be solved in roughly 1 minute, an improvement by a factor of roughly 43 million. Of this, a factor of roughly 1,000 was due to increased processor speed, whereas a factor of roughly 43,000 was due to improvements in algorithms! "

Designing a Digital Future
 (Report to the President and Congress, 2010)



37

#### Brief history

- 1939. Production, planning. [Kantorovich]
- 1947. Simplex algorithm. [Dantzig]
- 1947. Duality. [von Neumann, Dantzig, Gale-Kuhn-Tucker]
- 1947. Equilibrium theory. [Koopmans]
- 1948. Berlin airlift. [Dantzig]
- 1975. Nobel Prize in Economics. [Kantorovich and Koopmans]
- 1979. Ellipsoid algorithm. [Khachiyan]
- 1984. Projective-scaling algorithm. [Karmarkar]
- 1990. Interior-point methods. [Nesterov-Nemirovskii, Mehorta, ...]











Karmarka

Kantorovich

ch George Dantzig

von Neumann Koopmans

Khachiyan

38

## LINEAR PROGRAMMING

brewer's problem

simplex algorithm

implementations
 reductions

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

Algorithms

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

Algorithms

Robert Sedgewick | Kevin Wayne

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

## LINEAR PROGRAMMING

brewek's problem
 simplex algorithm

• implementations

reductions

#### Reductions to standard form

Minimization problem.Replace min 13A + 15B with max - 13A - 15B. $\geq$  constraints.Replace  $4A + 4B \geq 160$  with  $4A + 4B - S_H = 160$ ,  $S_H \geq 0$ .Unrestricted variables.Replace B with  $B = B_0 - B_1$ ,  $B_0 \geq 0$ ,  $B_1 \geq 0$ .

#### nonstandard form

subject to:  $5A + 15B_0 - 15B_1 + S_C = 480$   $4A + 4B_0 - 4B_1 - S_H = 160$   $35A + 20B_0 - 20B_1 = 1190$  $A - B_0 - B_1 - S_C - S_H \ge 0$ 

### Maxflow problem (revisited)

Input. Weighted digraph G, single source s and single sink t. Goal. Find maximum flow from s to t.



### Modeling

#### Linear "programming" (1950s term) = reduction to LP (modern term).

- Process of formulating an LP model for a problem.
- Solution to LP for a specific problem gives solution to the problem.

#### 1. Identify variables.

- 2. Define constraints (inequalities and equations).
- 3. Define objective function.
- 4. Convert to standard form.

#### Examples.

- Maxflow.
- Shortest paths.
- Bipartite matching.
- Assignment problem.
- 2-person zero-sum games.
- ...

41

### Modeling the maxflow problem as a linear program

Variables.  $x_{vw} =$  flow on edge  $v \rightarrow w$ . Constraints. Capacity and flow conservation. Objective function. Net flow into *t*.



Input. Bipartite graph.

Goal. Find a matching of maximum cardinality.

set of edges with no vertex appearing twice

Interpretation. Mutual preference constraints.

- People to jobs.
- Students to writing seminars.

Alice	Adobe
Adobe, Apple, Google	Alice, Bob, Dave
Bob	Apple
Adobe, Apple, Yahoo	Alice, Bob, Dave
Carol	Google
Google, IBM, Sun	Alice, Carol, Frank
Dave	IBM
Adobe, Apple	Carol, Eliza
Eliza	Sun
IBM, Sun, Yahoo	Carol, Eliza, Frank
Frank	Yahoo
Google, Sun, Yahoo	Bob, Eliza, Frank



matching of cardinality 6:

A-1, B-5, C-2, D-0, E-3, F-4

Example: job offers

Linear programming perspective

- Q. Got an optimization problem?
- Ex. Maxflow, bipartite matching, shortest paths, ... [many, many, more]

Approach 1: Use a specialized algorithm to solve it.

- Algorithms 4/e.
- Vast literature on algorithms.

#### Approach 2: Use linear programming.

- Many problems are easily modeled as LPs.
- Commercial solvers can solve those LPs.
- Might be slower than specialized solution (but you might not care).

Got an LP solver? Learn to use it!



Maximum cardinality bipartite matching problem

LP formulation. One variable per pair.

**Interpretation.**  $x_{ij} = 1$  if person *i* assigned to job *j*.

maximize	$x_{A0} + x_{A1} + x_{A2} + x_{B0} + x_{B1} + x_{B5} + x_{C2} + x_{C3} + x_{C4}$ + $x_{D0} + x_{D1} + x_{E3} + x_{E4} + x_{E5} + x_{F2} + x_{F4} + x_{F5}$							
subject to the constraints	at most one job per $x_{A0} + x_{A1} + x_{A2}$ $x_{B0} + x_{B1} + x_{B5}$ $x_{C2} + x_{C3} + x_{C4}$ $x_{D0} + x_{D1}$ $x_{E3} + x_{E4} + x_{E5}$ $x_{F2} + x_{F4} + x_{F5}$	person ≤ 1 ≤ 1 ≤ 1 ≤ 1 ≤ 1 ≤ 1 all x <sub>ij</sub> ≥ 0	at most one person $x_{A0} + x_{B0} + x_{D0}$ $x_{A1} + x_{B1} + x_{D1}$ $x_{A2} + x_{C2} + x_{F2}$ $x_{C3} + x_{E3}$ $x_{C4} + x_{E4} + x_{F4}$ $x_{B5} + x_{E5} + x_{F5}$ 0	per job <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> <pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> </pre> <pre> <p< th=""></p<></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>				

Theorem. [Birkhoff 1946, von Neumann 1953]



# Algorithms



ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

brewer's problem simplex algorithm implementations reductions



http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

## LINEAR PROGRAMMING

- brewer's problem
- simplex algorithm
- implementations
- reductions