## Cost Optimisation in Certification of Software Product Lines

Ricardo J. Rodríguez, Sasikumar Punnekkat

rj.rodriguez@unileon.es, sasikumar.punnekkat@mdh.se







ETSINF, Technical University of Madrid RIASC, University of León Madrid, Spain – León, Spain School of Innovation, Design and Engineering Mälardalen University Västerås, Sweden

November 3, 2014

4th edition of the IEEE International Workshop on Software Certification (WoSoCer) Naples (Italy)

## Agenda

### Introduction

### 2 Related Work

#### A Cost Model for Certification

- Software Product Line (Formal) Definition
- Certification Cost Model

# Cost Optimisation Problem for Certification Performance Evaluation

### 5 Conclusions and Future Work



## Agenda

### Introduction

### 2 Related Work

#### A Cost Model for Certification

- Software Product Line (Formal) Definition
- Certification Cost Model

# Cost Optimisation Problem for Certification Performance Evaluation

#### 5 Conclusions and Future Work



# Introduction (I)

Software Product Line Engineering (SPLE)

- Widely adopted approach in software-intensive systems
- Platform: Core Asset Base
  - Collection of artifacts (software components) that can be reused across a company portfolio
- Features: Differences among product platforms
  - A set of features = product variant



# Introduction (I)

Software Product Line Engineering (SPLE)

- Widely adopted approach in software-intensive systems
- Platform: Core Asset Base
  - Collection of artifacts (software components) that can be reused across a company portfolio
- Features: Differences among product platforms
  - A set of features = product variant

#### Main benefit

- Reuse of software components leads to save production costs
- Master key in many industrial domains (e.g., automotive)
  - Software development cost estimated as 13% of production cost of a vehicle (2010)



# Introduction (II)

SPLE in safety-critical systems

- Safety-critical systems: Failures  $\rightarrow$  fatal consequences
- Safety certification: The product is "safe'
  - Time consuming
  - Budget cost increased



# Introduction (II)

SPLE in safety-critical systems

- Safety-critical systems: Failures  $\rightarrow$  fatal consequences
- Safety certification: The product is "safe"
  - Time consuming
  - Budget cost increased

Adoption of SPLE in these systems rises several challenges  $\rightarrow$  cost optimization non-essential issue (in advance)



# Introduction (II)

SPLE in safety-critical systems

- Safety-critical systems: Failures  $\rightarrow$  fatal consequences
- Safety certification: The product is "safe"
  - Time consuming
  - Budget cost increased

Adoption of SPLE in these systems rises several challenges  $\rightarrow$  cost optimization non-essential issue (in advance)

#### Cost savings

- Reuse of software components
- Reuse of safety evidences and argument fragments



# Introduction (III)

#### Contribution

- Cost model accounting for certification issues
- $\bullet$  Formal model of a SPL + a heuristic strategy to minimise cost
  - Computes the platform members that compound a new product variant with a given level of confidence at minimum cost
  - Make efficient design decisions



## Agenda

### Introduction

### 2 Related Work

#### A Cost Model for Certification

- Software Product Line (Formal) Definition
- Certification Cost Model

# Cost Optimisation Problem for Certification Performance Evaluation

#### 5 Conclusions and Future Work



# Related Work (I)

#### Software cost estimation models in software reuse

- Expert judgement models
  - Experience and knowledge of one or more experts
- Algorithmic (or parametric)
  - Consider input parameters
- Machine Learning approaches
  - Approximate cost considering previous knowledge, with dynamic adjusting



# Related Work (I)

#### Software cost estimation models in software reuse

- Expert judgement models
  - Experience and knowledge of one or more experts
- Algorithmic (or parametric)
  - Consider input parameters
- Machine Learning approaches
  - Approximate cost considering previous knowledge, with dynamic adjusting

#### We focus on algorithmic models



# Related Work (II)

#### Algorithmic software cost estimation models

- SLIM, COCOMO-II, FPA, SEEM-SEM
- Open models vs. non-open models
- Wide range of project attributes
  - Development effort, complexity, experience, ...
- Some accounts for reuse, risk plans, team cohesion, ...



# Related Work (II)

#### Algorithmic software cost estimation models

- SLIM, COCOMO-II, FPA, SEEM-SEM
- Open models vs. non-open models
- Wide range of project attributes
  - Development effort, complexity, experience, ...
- Some accounts for reuse, risk plans, team cohesion, ...

Current models lack for certification aspects \*but\* they could easily add these as another factor in the cost equation...



# Related Work (II)

#### Algorithmic software cost estimation models

- SLIM, COCOMO-II, FPA, SEEM-SEM
- Open models vs. non-open models
- Wide range of project attributes
  - Development effort, complexity, experience, ...
- Some accounts for reuse, risk plans, team cohesion, ...

Current models lack for certification aspects \*but\* they could easily add these as another factor in the cost equation... They compute cost but not consider best suitable options to minimise it



# Related Work (III)

Algorithmic software cost estimation models in SPL

#### • Safety certification is neither considered

• Models not initially planned to safety-critical systems



# Related Work (III)

Algorithmic software cost estimation models in SPL

### • Safety certification is neither considered

• Models not initially planned to safety-critical systems

#### Cost optimisation - variability models

- Olaechea et al., NFPinDSML, 2012
  - Tool based on Alloy language
  - Exact, discrete multi-objective optimization



## Agenda

### Introduction

### 2 Related Work

#### A Cost Model for Certification

- Software Product Line (Formal) Definition
- Certification Cost Model

# Cost Optimisation Problem for Certification Performance Evaluation

#### 5 Conclusions and Future Work



# A Cost Model for Certification (I)

A formal definition of SPL

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D} \rangle$$

- $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2 \dots, x_n\}$ : Set of components
- $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2 \dots, p_m\}$ : Set of product variants
- $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_u\}$ : Set of features available
- $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{F} \to \{0,1\}$ : Features provided by a product
- $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{X} \to \{0,1\}$ : Components that provide a feature
  - x, x' ∈ X, E(f, x) = E(f, x') = 1 means that we can pick either x or x' to provide the feature f
- $\mathcal{D}: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \{0, 1\}$ : Dependencies among the components



## A Cost Model for Certification (II)

Towards a certification cost model

Bockle et al.'s cost model

$$C = C_{org} + C_{cab} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{unique}(p_i) + C_{reuse}(p_i))$$

- C<sub>org</sub>: Cost to an organization for adopting the software product line approach for its set of products
- *C<sub>cab</sub>*: Cost to develop a core asset base to support the product line being adopted
- $C_{unique}$ : Cost to develop unique software for a new artifact  $p_i$
- Creuse: Cost to reuse a core asset  $p_i$



# A Cost Model for Certification (III)

Towards a certification cost model

#### Safety-critical systems

- Set of mandatory requirements to assure a certain level of safety
- Specified by standards
  - IEC 61508, ISO 26262, DO-178C
  - NOTE: Certification of a product  $p = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  implies certification of components  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$
- When fulfilled, the system is safety-certified



# A Cost Model for Certification (III)

Towards a certification cost model

#### Safety-critical systems

- Set of mandatory requirements to assure a certain level of safety
- Specified by standards
  - IEC 61508, ISO 26262, DO-178C
  - NOTE: Certification of a product  $p = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  implies certification of components  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$
- When fulfilled, the system is safety-certified

### Safety Integrity Level (SIL)

- Target level of risk reduction
- In a safety-critical systems, it forces products (and its sub-components) to a minimum SIL

### $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [1, \textit{SIL}_\textit{max}]$

• SIL<sub>max</sub>: Maximum achievable SIL in the system

## A Cost Model for Certification (IV)

Towards a certification cost model

#### Adding costs of certification

- $\mathcal{C}_{cert}: \mathcal{P} \to c, c \in \mathbb{R}^+_{>0}$ : Cost of certification
- C<sub>recert</sub> : X × I → c, c ∈ ℝ<sup>+</sup><sub>≥0</sub>: Cost c ≥ 0 of re-certifying to a given safety integrity level s for a component x
  - $C_{recert}(x_i, s) = 0$  when  $x_i$  cannot achieve a level s
- C<sub>other</sub> : X → c, c ∈ ℝ<sup>+</sup><sub>>0</sub>: Other costs (e.g., cost of reuse and cost of unique development)



### A Cost Model for Certification (V)

Refining the Bockle et al.'s cost model

$$C = C_{org} + C_{cab} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{unique}(p_i) + C_{reuse}(p_i))$$

$$C' = C_{org} + C_{cab} + \sum_{p' \in \mathcal{P}'} C_{cert}(p') + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (C_{unique}(p_i) + C_{reuse}(p_i) + C_{recert}(p_i, s'))$$

- $\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ : Set of products that needs to be initially certified to a given SIL
- $C_{recert}(p_i, s')$ : Cost of re-certification of a product  $p_i$  to an s' level

 $\Rightarrow$ 

• 
$$C_{recert}(p_i, s') =$$
  

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}'_i} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{recert}(x, s') + \sum_{\substack{\forall x' \notin \mathcal{X}'_i, x' \in \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}(x, x') = 1 \\ \mathcal{X}'_i = \{x | x \in \mathcal{X} \land f \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{R}(p_i, f) = 1 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}(f, x) = 1\} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where }$$



## Agenda

### Introduction

### 2 Related Work

#### A Cost Model for Certification

- Software Product Line (Formal) Definition
- Certification Cost Model

# Cost Optimisation Problem for Certification Performance Evaluation

#### 5 Conclusions and Future Work

Heuristic strategy algorithm

- Input:  $S, I, C_{other}, C_{recert}, SIL_{new}, F'$
- Output:  $\mathcal{Z}$  (set of eligible components that minimise the cost)

#### Steps

- **1** Initialise  $\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{X}', \mathcal{I}', \mathcal{C}'$
- **2** For each element (x, s) that can be recertified and not yet processed
  - $\bullet \quad {\sf Create a temporary path } {\mathcal P}' \ {\sf checking all nodes have a SIL} \geq s$
  - When P' is feasible, add these new components to X' with its SIL and mark (x, s) as processed
  - **3** Add cost of recertification of each component x' to C'(x')
  - Set the features and dependencies properly
- § Set diagonal elements of  $\mathcal{D}'$  as the number of dependent elements
- ullet Compute  ${\mathcal A}$  as the solution of the Binary Integer Problem
  - Next slide!
- **S** Extract the set of components from  $\mathcal{A}$

Heuristic strategy algorithm - Binary Integer Programming problem

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= \text{minimize } \mathcal{C}' \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} \\ \text{subject to } \mathcal{E}' \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} = \mathbf{1} \\ \mathcal{D}' \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathcal{E}' \cdot \mathcal{I}') \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} \geq \mathbf{1} \cdot SIL_{new} \\ & x \in \{0, 1\}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}' \end{split}$$
(1)

RIAS

• 
$$\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}'(f, :) = \mathcal{E}(f, :)$$

Heuristic strategy algorithm - Binary Integer Programming problem

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A} &= \text{minimize } \mathcal{C}' \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} \\ \text{subject to } \mathcal{E}' \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} = \mathbf{1} \\ \mathcal{D}' \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} \geq \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathcal{E}' \cdot \mathcal{I}') \cdot \mathcal{X}'^{\top} \geq \mathbf{1} \cdot \textit{SIL}_{new} \\ & x \in \{0, 1\}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}' \end{split}$$
(1)

• 
$$\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}'(f, :) = \mathcal{E}(f, :)$$

- Two scenarios of working:
  - Allows adding a new product variant
  - Recertification of existing product variant in the SPL to a higher SIL



#### An example

- $|\mathcal{X}| = 10$  components
- Suppose an airbag equipment composed of three features
- - \$\mathcal{I} = \{3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3\}\$
     \$\mathcal{C}\_{other} = \{\\$1500, \\$1000, \\$1000, \\$1200, \\$1200, \\$900, \\$750, \\$1500, \\$1350, \\$1000\}\$



#### An example

- |X| = 10 components
- Suppose an airbag equipment composed of three features
- $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 1, 1\} \\ \mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$

	/1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)
2 =	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0/	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0)

•  $\mathcal{I} = \{3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3\}$ 

•  $C_{other} = \{\$1500, \$1000, \$1000, \$1200, \$1200, \$900, \$750, \$1500, \$1350, \$1000\}$ 



2

#### An example

- $|\mathcal{X}| = 10$  components
- Suppose an airbag equipment composed of three features
- $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 1, 1\}$  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$

- $\mathcal{I} = \{3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3\}$
- Cother = {\$1500, \$1000, \$1000, \$1200, \$1200, \$900, \$750, \$1500, \$1350, \$1000}



#### An example

- $|\mathcal{X}| = 10$  components
- Suppose an airbag equipment composed of three features
- - \$\mathcal{I} = {3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3}\$
     \$\mathcal{C}\$ cother = {\$1500, \$1000, \$1000, \$1200, \$1200, \$900, \$750, \$1500, \$1350, \$1000}}\$



- $|\mathcal{X}| = 10$  components
- Suppose an airbag equipment composed of three features
- - $\mathcal{I} = \{3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3\}$
  - Cother = {\$1500, \$1000, \$1000, \$1200, \$1200, \$900, \$750, \$1500, \$1350, \$1000}



#### Second scenario

•  $C(x_3,3) = C(x_6,3) = C(x_7,3) = 200$ 

• 
$$SIL_{new} = 3$$

• { $x_2, x_3', x_6', x_7', x_8, x_{10}$ }, \$6750

• 
$$f_1, f_2, f_3$$
 provided by  $x'_3, x_2, x'_7$ 



Binary Integer Programming problem - Performance evaluation (average execution time)



#### Sensitive analysis

- Features: [100, 3000], step 100
- Components: [100, 500], step 50
- Features and dependency matrices randomly created



Binary Integer Programming problem - Performance evaluation (average execution time)



#### Sensitive analysis

- Features: [100, 3000], step 100
- Components: [100, 500], step 50

**RIASC** 

- Features and dependency matrices randomly created
- Strong dependence on the number of components, almost independently on the number of features
- Execution time is relatively small (less than 0.5 seconds)
  - Negligible compared to the time needed to gather data as we propose

## Agenda

### Introduction

### 2 Related Work

#### A Cost Model for Certification

- Software Product Line (Formal) Definition
- Certification Cost Model

# Cost Optimisation Problem for Certification Performance Evaluation

### 5 Conclusions and Future Work



# Conclusions and Future Work (I)

- Reuse of software products save production cost
- SPL approach can be adopted in safety-critical systems
  - Aware of safety standards: Safety certification
    - Time consuming
    - Increases production costs

#### Summary of contributions

- Cost model for SPL that addresses certification aspects
- Formal definition of a SPL
- Heuristic strategy with optimisation theory to minimise cost
  - Binary Integer Programming problem
  - Compute the set of artifacts that conforms a product variant at an optimised cost assuring also a certain level of confidence
  - Good trade-off accuracy/time complexity
    - Execution time depends on the no. of components
    - Negligible compared to the time of gathering data as we propose :(

### Conclusions and Future Work (II)

#### Future Work

- Tool to collect data, solve the problem and report feedback to the user
- Evaluate in real industrial case studies
- Extend to eliminate assumption of SIL independent



## Cost Optimisation in Certification of Software Product Lines

Ricardo J. Rodríguez, Sasikumar Punnekkat

rj.rodriguez@unileon.es, sasikumar.punnekkat@mdh.se







ETSINF, Technical University of Madrid RIASC, University of León Madrid, Spain – León, Spain School of Innovation, Design and Engineering Mälardalen University Västerås, Sweden

November 3, 2014

4th edition of the IEEE International Workshop on Software Certification (WoSoCer) Naples (Italy)