# **Zeta-Dimension**

(Preliminary Version)

David Doty<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoyang Gu<sup>1‡</sup>, Jack H. Lutz<sup>1§</sup>, Elvira Mayordomo<sup>2¶</sup>, and Philippe Moser<sup>1||</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 USA. {ddoty,xiaoyang,lutz,moser}@cs.iastate.edu.

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Informática e Ingeniería de Sistemas, María de Luna 1, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50018 Zaragoza, SPAIN. elvira@unizar.es.

Abstract. The *zeta-dimension* of a set A of positive integers is

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \inf\{s \mid \zeta_A(s) < \infty\},\$$

where

$$\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{n \in A} n^{-s}.$$

Zeta-dimension serves as a fractal dimension on  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  that extends naturally and usefully to discrete lattices such as  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , where d is a positive integer.

This paper reviews the origins of zeta-dimension (which date to the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries) and develops its basic theory, with particular attention to its relationship with algorithmic information theory. New results presented include a gale characterization of zeta-dimension and a theorem on the zeta-dimensions of pointwise sums and products of sets of positive integers.

## 1 Introduction

Natural and engineered complex systems often produce structures with fractal properties. These structures may be explicitly observable (e.g., shapes of neurons or patterns created by cellular automata), or they may be implicit in the behaviors of the systems (e.g., strange attractors of dynamical systems, Brownian trajectories in financial data, or Boolean circuit complexity classes). In either case, the choice of appropriate mathematical models is crucial to understanding the systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant 0344187.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>S}$  This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant 0344187.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup> This research was supported in part by Spanish Government MEC project TIC 2002-04019-C03-03.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>||</sup> This research was supported in part by Swiss National Science Foundation Grant PBGE2–104820.

Many, perhaps most, fractal structures are best modeled by classical fractal geometry [15], which provides top-down specifications of many useful fractals in Euclidean spaces and other manifolds that support continuous mathematical methods and attendant methods of numerical approximation. Classical fractal geometry also includes powerful quantitative tools, the most notable of which are the *fractal dimensions* (especially Hausdorff dimension [19, 15], packing dimension [39, 38, 15], and box dimension [15]). Theoretical computer scientists have recently developed *effective* fractal dimensions [28, 26, 27, 10, 4] that work in complexity classes and other countable settings, but these, too, are best regarded as continuous, albeit effective, mathematical methods.

Some fractal structures are inherently discrete and best modeled that way. To some extent this is already true for structures created by cellular automata. For the nascent theory of nanostructure self-assembly [1,33], the case is even more compelling. This theory models the *bottom-up* self-assembly of molecular structures. The tile assembly models that achieve this cannot be regarded as discrete approximations of continuous phenomena (as cellular automata often are), because their bottom-level units (tiles) correspond directly to discrete objects (molecules). Fractal structures assembled by such a model are best analyzed using discrete tools.

This paper concerns a discrete fractal dimension, called *zeta-dimension*, that works in discrete lattices such as  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , where *d* is a positive integer. Curiously, although our work is motivated by twenty-first century concerns in theoretical computer science, zeta-dimension has its mathematical origins in eighteenth and nineteenth century number theory. Specifically, zeta-dimension is defined in terms of a generalization of Euler's 1737 *zeta-function* [14]  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$ , defined for nonnegative real *s* (and extended in 1859 to complex *s* by Riemann [32], after whom the zeta-function is now named). Moreover, this generalization can be formulated in terms of Dirichlet series [12], which were developed in 1837, and one of the most important properties of zeta-dimension (in modern terms, the entropy characterization) was proven in these terms by Cahen [8] in 1894.

Our objectives here are twofold. First, we present zeta-dimension and its basic theory, citing its origins in scattered references, but stating things in a unified framework emphasizing zeta-dimension's role as a discrete fractal dimension in theoretical computer science. Second, we present several results on zetadimension and its interactions with classical fractal geometry and algorithmic information theory.

Our presentation is organized as follows. In section 2, we give an intuitive development of zeta-dimension in the positive integers. In section 3, we extend this development in a natural way to the integer lattices  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , for  $d \ge 1$ . In addition to reviewing known properties of zeta-dimension, we prove discrete analogs of two theorems of classical fractal geometry, namely, the dimension inequalities for Cartesian products and the total disconnectivity of sets of dimension less than 1.

In section 4, we discuss relationships between zeta-dimension and classical fractal dimensions. Many discrete fractals in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  have been observed to "look

like" corresponding fractals in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The most famous such correspondence is the obvious resemblance between Pascal's triangle modulo 2 and the Sierpinski triangle [36]. We define a version of discrete self similar fractal and its continuous counterpart and use result from [6] to show that the zeta-dimension of the discrete fractal is always the Hausdorff dimension of its continuous version. We will further discuss issues along these lines [6, 7, 37] in the full version of this paper. We also prove a result relating zeta-dimension in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  to Hausdorff dimension in the Baire space.

Section 5 concerns the relationships between zeta-dimension and algorithmic information theory. We review the Kolmogorov-Staiger characterization [43, 35] of the zeta-dimensions of computably enumerable and co-computably enumerable sets in terms of the Kolmogorov complexities (algorithmic information contents) of their elements. We prove a theorem on the zeta-dimensions of sets of positive integers that are defined in terms of the digits, or strings of digits, that can occur in the base-k expansions of their elements. Most significantly, we prove that zeta-dimension, like classical and effective fractal dimensions, can be characterized in terms of gales. Finally, we prove a theorem on the zetadimensions of pointwise sums and products of sets of positive integers that may have bearing on the question of which sets of natural numbers are definable by McKenzie-Wagner circuits [29].

Note: Researchers have considered other fractal dimensions in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  that are not equivalent to zeta-dimension, but nevertheless of interest [5, 6, 16, 21]. These will be discussed further in the full version of this paper.

Throughout this paper,  $\log t = \log_2 t$ , and  $\ln t = \log_e t$ .

## 2 Zeta-Dimension in $\mathbb{Z}^+$

A set of positive integers is generally considered to be "small" if the sum of the reciprocals of its elements is finite [2, 18]. Easily verified examples of such small sets include the set of nonnegative integer powers of 2 and the set of perfect squares. On the other hand, the divergence of the harmonic series means that the set  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  of all positive integers is not small, and a celebrated theorem of Euler [14] says that the set of all prime numbers is not small either.

If a set is small in the above qualitative (yes/no) sense, we are still entitled to ask, "Exactly how small is the set?" This section concerns a natural, quantitative answer to this question. For each set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  and each nonnegative real number s, let

$$\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{n \in A} n^{-s}.$$
(2.1)

Note that  $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}^+}$  is precisely  $\zeta$ , the Riemann zeta-function [32] (actually, Euler's original version [14] of the zeta-function, since we only consider  $\zeta_A(s)$  for real s). The zeta-dimension of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  is then defined to be

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \inf\{s | \zeta_A(s) < \infty\}.$$
(2.2)

Since  $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}^+}(s) < \infty$  for all s > 1, we have  $0 \leq \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) \leq 1$  for every set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ . By the results cited in the preceding paragraph, the set of all positive integers and the set of all prime numbers each have zeta-dimension 1. Every finite set has zeta-dimension 0, because  $\zeta_A(0)$  is the cardinality of A. It is easy to see that the set of nonnegative integer powers of 2 also has zeta-dimension 0. For a deeper example, Wirsing's  $n^{O(\frac{1}{\ln \ln n})}$  upper bound on the number of perfect numbers not exceeding n [42] implies that the set of perfect numbers also has zeta-dimension 0.

The zeta-dimension of a set of positive integers can also lie strictly between 0 and 1. For example, if A is the set of all perfect squares, then  $\zeta_A(s) = \zeta(2s)$ , so  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Similarly, the set of all perfect cubes has zeta-dimension  $\frac{1}{3}$ , etc. In fact, this argument can easily be extended to show that, for every real number  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , there exist sets  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \alpha$ .

Intuitively, we regard zeta-dimension as a fractal dimension, analogous to Hausdorff dimension [19, 15] or (more aptly, as we shall see) upper box dimension dimension [39, 38, 15], on the space  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  of positive integers. This intuition is supported by the fact that zeta-dimension has the following easily verified functional properties of a fractal dimension.

- 1. Monotonicity:  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) \leq \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(B)$ .
- 2. Stability:  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A \cup B) = \max\{\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A), \operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(B)\}.$
- 3. Translation invariance: For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(k+A) = \operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A)$ , where  $k+A = \{k+n | n \in A\}.$
- 4. Expansion invariance: For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(kA) = \operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A)$ , where  $kA = \{kn | n \in A\}$ .

Equation (2.1) can be written as a Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) n^{-s}$$
(2.3)

in which f is the characteristic function of A. In the terminology of analytic number theory, (2.2) then says that the zeta-dimension of A is the *abscissa of convergence* of the series (2.3) [23, 18, 2, 3]. In this sense, zeta-dimension was introduced in 1837 by Dirichlet [12]. The following useful characterization of zeta-dimension was proven in this more general setting in 1894.

Theorem 2.1 (entropy characterization of zeta-dimension – Cahen [8]; see also [22, 23, 18, 2, 3]). For all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log |A \cap \{1, \dots, n\}| / \log n.$$
(2.4)

**Example 2.2** The set C', consisting of all positive integers whose ternary expansions do not contain a 1, can be regarded as a discrete analog of the Cantor middle thirds set C, which consists of all real numbers in [0,1] who ternary expansions do not contain a 1. Theorem 2.1 implies immediately that C' has zeta-dimension  $\frac{\log 2}{\log 3} \approx 0.6309$ , which is exactly the classical fractal (Hausdorff, packing or box) dimension of C. We will see in section 4 that this is not a coincidence, but rather a special case of a general phenomenon.

By Theorem 2.1 and routine calculus, we have

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log |A \cap \{1, \dots, 2^n\}|/n \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log |A \cap \{2^n, \dots, 2^{n+1} - 1\}|/n$$
(2.6)

for all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The right-hand side of (2.6) has been called the (*channel*) capacity of A, the (*topological*) entropy (*rate*) of A, and the upper (*fractal/mass*) dimension of A [34, 24, 17, 13, 9, 11, 20, 35, 7, 30, 31, 5, 6]. In particular, Staiger [35] (see also [20]) rediscovered (2.6) as a characterization of the entropy of A.

The following section shows how to extend zeta-dimension to the integer lattices  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , for  $d \geq 1$ .

## 3 Zeta-Dimension in $\mathbb{Z}^d$

For each  $\vec{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , where d is a positive integer, let  $\|\vec{n}\|$  be the Euclidean distance from the origin to  $\vec{n}$ , i.e.,

$$\|\vec{n}\| = \sqrt{n_1^2 + \dots + n_d^2}.$$
(3.1)

For each  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ , define the A-zeta-function  $\zeta_A : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$\zeta_A(s) = \sum_{\vec{0} \neq \vec{n} \in A} \|\vec{n}\|^{-s}$$
(3.2)

for all  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , and define the *zeta-dimension* of A to be

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \inf\{s \mid \zeta_A(s) < \infty\}.$$
(3.3)

Note that, if d = 1 and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then definitions (3.2) and (3.3) agree with definitions (2.1) and (2.2), respectively. The zeta-dimension that we have defined in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is thus an extension of the one that was defined in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ .

## **Observation 3.1** For all $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ , $0 \leq \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) \leq d$ .

We next note that zeta-dimension has key properties of a fractal dimension in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . We state the invariance property a bit more generally than in section 2.

**Definition.** A function  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^d$  is *bi-Lipschitz* if there exists  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$  such that, for all  $\vec{m}, \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \alpha \| \vec{m} - \vec{n} \| \leq \| f(\vec{m}) - f(\vec{n}) \| \leq \beta \| \vec{m} - \vec{n} \|$ .

### **Observation 3.2 (fractal properties of zeta-dimension)** Let $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

- 1. Monotonicity:  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $\text{Dim}_{\mathcal{L}}(A) \leq \text{Dim}_{\mathcal{L}}(B)$ .
- 2. Stability:  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A \cup B) = \max\{\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A), \operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(B)\}.$

3. Lipschitz invariance: If  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^d$  is bi-Lipschitz, then  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(f(A)) = \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A)$ .

For  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , let  $A_I = \{\vec{n} \in A \mid ||\vec{n}|| \in I\}$ . Then the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_A^D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_{[n,n+1)}| n^{-s} = \sum_{\vec{0} \neq \vec{n} \in A} \lfloor \|\vec{n}\| \rfloor^{-s}, \qquad (3.4)$$

converges exactly when  $\zeta_A(s)$  converges, so equation (3.3) says that  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A)$  is the abscissa of convergence of this series. Cahen's 1894 characterization of this abscissa thus gives us the following extension of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.3 (entropy characterization of zeta-dimension in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  – Cahen [8]). For all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log |A_{[1,n]}| / \log n.$$
(3.5)

As in  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , it follows immediately by routine calculus that

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log |A_{[1,2^n]}|/n \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \log |A_{[2^n, 2^{n+1})}| / n \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Willson [40] has used (a quantity formally identical to) the right-hand side of (3.6) as a measure of the *growth-rate dimension* of a cellular automaton.

We next note that "subspaces" of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  have the "correct" zeta-dimensions.

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $\vec{m_1}, \ldots, \vec{m_k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  are linearly independent (as vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ) and  $S = \{a_1 \vec{m_1} + \cdots + a_k \vec{m_k} \mid a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(S) = k$ .

By translation invariance, it follows that "hyperplanes" in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  also have the "correct" zeta-dimensions.

The Euclidean norm (3.1) is sometimes inconvenient for calculations. When desirable, the  $L^1$  norm,  $\|\vec{n}\|_1 = |n_1| + \cdots + |n_d|$ , can be used in its place. That is, if we define the  $L^1$  A-zeta-function  $\zeta_A^{L^1}$  by  $\zeta_A^{L^1}(s) = \sum_{\vec{0} \neq \vec{n} \in A} \|\vec{n}\|_1^{-s}$ , then  $2^{-s}\zeta_A(s) \leq \zeta_A^{L^1}(s) \leq \zeta_A(s)$  holds for all  $s \in [0,\infty)$ , so  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \inf\{s \mid \zeta_A^{L^1}(s) < \infty\}$ . The entropy characterizations (3.5), (3.6), and (3.7) also hold with each set  $A_I$  replaced by the set  $A_I^{L^1} = \{\vec{n} \in A \mid \|\vec{n}\|_1 \in I\}$ . Note that other norms can be used to define zeta dimension too.

**Example 3.5 (Pascal's triangle modulo** 2) Let  $A = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \binom{m+n}{m}\}$  $\equiv 1 \mod 2\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $|A_{[1,2^n]}^{L^1}| = 3^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence the  $L^1$  version of (3.6) tells us that  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \log 3 \approx 1.5850$ . This is exactly the fractal (Hausdorff, packing or box) dimension of the Sierpinski triangle that A so famously resembles [36]. This connection will be further illuminated in section 4. In order to examine the zeta-dimensions of Cartesian products, we define the lower zeta-dimension of a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  to be

$$\dim_{\zeta}(A) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \log|A_{[1,n]}| / \log n.$$
(3.8)

By Theorem 3.3,  $\dim_{\zeta}(A)$  is a sort of dual of  $\dim_{\zeta}(A)$ . By routine calculus, we also have

$$\dim_{\zeta}(A) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \log |A_{[1,2^n]}|/n, \tag{3.9}$$

i.e., the dual of equation (3.6) holds. Note, however, that the dual of equation (3.7) does *not* hold in general.

The following theorem is exactly analogous to a classical theorem on the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of Cartesian products [15].

**Theorem 3.6.** For all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d_1}$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{d_2}$ ,  $\dim_{\zeta}(A) + \dim_{\zeta}(B) \leq \dim_{\zeta}(A \times B) \leq \dim_{\zeta}(A) + \dim_{\zeta}(B) \leq \dim_{\zeta}(A \times B) \leq \dim_{\zeta}(A) + \dim_{\zeta}(B)$ .

Although connectivity properties play an important role in classical fractal geometry, their role in discrete settings like  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  will perforce be more limited. Nevertheless, we have the following. Given  $d, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and points  $\vec{m}, \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , an r-path from  $\vec{m}$  to  $\vec{n}$  is a sequence  $\pi = (\vec{p_0}, \ldots, \vec{p_l})$  of points  $\vec{p_i} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  such that  $\vec{p_0} = \vec{m}, \vec{p_l} = \vec{n}, \text{ and } \|\vec{p_i} - \vec{p_{i+1}}\| \leq r$  for all  $0 \leq i < l$ . Call a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  boundedly connected if there exists  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that, for all  $\vec{m}, \vec{n} \in A$ , there is an r-path  $\pi = (\vec{p_0}, \ldots, \vec{p_l})$  from  $\vec{m}$  to  $\vec{n}$  in which  $\vec{p_i} \in A$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq l$ .

A result of classical fractal geometry says that any set of dimension less than 1 is totally disconnected. The following theorem is an analog of this for zeta-dimension.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ . If  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) < 1$ , then no infinite subset of A is boundedly connected.

The next section examines the relationships between zeta-dimension and classical fractal dimensions in greater detail.

#### 4 Zeta-Dimension and Classical Fractal Dimension

The following result shows that the agreement between zeta-dimension and Hausdorff dimension noticed in Examples 2.2 and 3.5 are instances of a more general phenomenon: Given any discrete fractal with enough self similarity, its zetadimension is equal to the Hausdorff dimension of its classical version. In earlier investigations along these lines, discrete self similar fractals were defined using additive cellular automata [40, 41], reverse iterative function system [5, 6, 37], etc. Here we give a slightly different definition of self similarity.

**Definition.** Let  $c, d \in \mathbb{N}, F \subset \mathbb{N}^d$ . F is a c-discrete self similar fractal, if there exists a function  $S : \{1, 2, \dots, c\}^d \to \{\text{no}, R_0, R_1, R_2, R_3\}$  such that  $S(1, \dots, 1) = R_0$ , and for every integer k and every  $(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}^d$ ,

$$F \cap C_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_d}^k = \begin{cases} R_j(C_{1, \cdots, 1}^k) & \text{if } S(i_1, \cdots, i_d) = R_j, \\ \varnothing & \text{if } S(i_1, \cdots, i_d) = \text{no} \end{cases}$$

where  $R_j$   $(j = 0, \dots, 3)$  is a rotation of angle  $j\pi/2$ , and  $C_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_d}^k$  is a *d*dimensional cube of side *c* is defined by  $[(i_1 - 1)c^k + 1, i_1c^k] \times \dots \times [(i_d - 1)c^k + 1, i_dc^k]$ .

Given any c-discrete self similar fractal  $F \subset \mathbb{N}^d$ , we construct its continuous analogue  $\mathbb{F} \subset [0,1]^d$  recursively, via the following contraction  $T : x \mapsto \frac{1}{c}x$ .  $\mathbb{F}_0 = [0,1]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_k = T^{(k)}(F \cap [1,c^k]^d)$ , where  $T^{(k)} = T \circ \cdots \circ T$ , denotes k iterations of T. The fractal  $\mathbb{F} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{F}_k$  obtained by this construction is a self-similar continuous fractal with contraction ratio 1/c. The following result shows that the zeta-dimension of the discrete fractal is equal to the Hausdorff dimension of its continuous counterpart. See Barlow and Taylor [6] for their more general result that implies this theorem.

#### **Theorem 4.1.** If $c, d, F, \mathbb{F}$ are as above, then $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(F) = \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbb{F})$ .

The following result gives a relationship between zeta-dimension and dimension in the Baire space. We consider the Baire space  $\mathbb{N}^{\infty}$  representing total functions from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$  in the obvious way. Given  $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $C_w = \{z \in \mathbb{N}^{\infty} | w \sqsubset z\}$ .

We define real :  $\mathbb{N}^{\infty} \to [0,1]$  by real $(z) = \frac{1}{(z_0+1) + \frac{1}{(z_1+1) + \cdots}}$ . The cylinder

generated by w is the interval  $\Delta(w) = \{x \in [0,1] | x = \operatorname{real}(z), w \sqsubset z\}.$ A subprobability supermeasure on  $\mathbb{N}^{\infty}$  is a function  $p : \mathbb{N}^* \to [0,1]$  such that

 $p(\lambda) \leq 1$  and for each  $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $p(w) \geq \sum_n p(wn)$ .

For each subprobability supermeasure p we can define a Hausdorff dimension and a packing dimension on  $\mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ ,  $\dim_p$  and  $\dim_p$ , using the metric  $\rho$  defined as  $\rho(z, z') = p(w)$  for  $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$  the longest common prefix of  $z, z' \in \mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ .

Gauss measure is defined on each  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  as  $\gamma(E) = 1/\ln 2 \int_E (1+t)^{-1} dt$ . We will abuse notation and use  $\gamma(w) = \gamma(\operatorname{real}(C_w))$  for each  $w \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Notice that  $\gamma(\lambda) = 1$  and therefore  $\gamma$  is a probability measure on  $\mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ .

Define  $F_A = \{f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} | f(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq A \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = \infty\}$ , for each  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The following result relates zeta-dimension to Gauss-dimension.

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{dim}_{\gamma}(F_A) = 2 \cdot \operatorname{Dim}_{\gamma}(F_A).$ 

### 5 Zeta-Dimension and Algorithmic Information

The entropy characterization of zeta-dimension (Theorem 3.3) already indicates a strong connection between zeta-dimension and information theory. Here we explore further such connections. The first concerns the zeta-dimensions of sets of positive integers that are defined in terms of the digits, or strings of digits, that can appear in the base-k expansions of their elements. We write  $\operatorname{rep}_k(n)$ for the base-k expansion  $(k \ge 2)$  of a positive integer n. Conversely, given a nonempty string  $w \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}^*$  that does not begin with 0, we write  $\operatorname{num}_k(w)$  for the positive integer whose base-k expansion is w. A prefix set over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a set  $B \subseteq \Sigma^*$  such that no element of B is a proper prefix of another element of B. An *instantaneous code* is a nonempty prefix set that does not contain the empty string.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ , where  $k \ge 2$ . Assume that  $\emptyset \ne \Delta \subseteq \Sigma - \{0\}$  and that  $B \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is a finite instantaneous code, and let  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ | \operatorname{rep}_k(n) \in \Delta B^*\}$ . Then  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = s^*$ , where  $s^*$  is the unique solution of the equation  $\sum_{w \in B} k^{-s^*|w|} = 1$ .

**Corollary 5.2** Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ , where  $k \geq 2$ . If  $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma$  and  $\Gamma \not\subseteq \{0\}$ and  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ | \operatorname{rep}_k(n) \in \Gamma^*\}$ , then  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \frac{\ln|\Gamma|}{\ln k}$ .

**Example 5.3** Corollary 5.2 gives a quantitative articulation of the "paradox of the missing digit"[18]. If A is the set of positive integers in whose decimal expansions some particular digit, such as 7, is missing, then a naive intuition might suggest that A contains "most" integers, but A has long been known to be small in the sense that the sum of the reciprocals of its elements is finite (i.e.,  $\zeta_A(1) < \infty$ ). In fact, Corollary 5.2 says that  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \frac{\ln 9}{\ln 10} \approx 0.9542$ , a quantity somewhat smaller than, say, the zeta-dimension of the set of prime numbers.

The main connection between zeta-dimension and *algorithmic* information theory is a theorem of Staiger [35] relating entropy to Kolmogorov complexity. To state Staiger's theorem in our present framework, we define the *Kolmogorov* complexity  $K(\vec{n})$  of a point  $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  to be the length of a shortest program  $\pi \in \{0, 1\}^*$  such that, when a fixed universal self-delimiting Turing machine Uis run with  $(\pi, d)$  as its input, U outputs  $\vec{n}$  (actually, some straightforward encoding of  $\vec{n}$  as a binary string) and halts after finitely many computation steps. Detailed discussions of Kolmogorov complexity's definition, fundamental properties, history, significance, and applications appear in the definitive textbook by Li and Vitanyi [25]. As we have already noted,  $K(\vec{n})$  is a measure of the *algorithmic information content* of  $\vec{n}$ .

For  $\vec{0} \neq \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we write  $l(\|\vec{n}\|)$  for the length of the standard binary expansion (no leading zeroes) of the positive integer  $\lfloor \|\vec{n}\| \rfloor$ .

If  $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \to [0,\infty)$  and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then the *limit superior* of f on A is  $\limsup_{\vec{n} \in A} f(\vec{n}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup f(A_{[k,\infty]})$ . Note that this is 0 if A is finite.

**Theorem 5.4 (Kolmogorov** [43], **Staiger** [35]). For every  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) \leq \limsup_{\vec{n} \in A} \frac{K(\vec{n})}{l(\|\vec{n}\|)}$ , with equality if A or its complement is computably enumerable.

In the case where d = 1 and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ , Theorem 5.4 says that, if A is  $\Sigma_1^0$  or  $\Pi_1^0$ , then  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \limsup_{n \in A} \frac{K(n)}{l(n)}$ , where l(n) is the length of the binary representation of A. Kolmogorov [43] proved this for  $\Sigma_1^0$  sets, and Staiger [35] proved it for  $\Pi_1^0$  sets. The extension to  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$  for arbitrary  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is routine.

As Staiger has noted, Theorem 5.4 cannot be extended to  $\Delta_2^0$  sets, because an oracle for the halting problem can easily be used to decide a set  $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that, for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $B_{[2^k, 2^{k+1}]}$  contains exactly one integer n, and this n also satisfies  $\mathcal{K}(n) \geq k$ . Such a set B is a  $\Delta_2^0$  set satisfying  $\operatorname{Dim}_{\zeta}(B) = 0 < 1 = \lim \sup_{n \in B} \frac{\mathcal{K}(n)}{l(n)}$ .

Classical Hausdorff and packing dimensions were recently characterized in terms of gales, which are betting strategies with a parameter s that quantifies how favorable the payoffs are [26, 4]. These characterizations have played a central role in many recent studies of effective fractal dimensions in algorithmic information theory and computational complexity theory [28]. We show here that zeta-dimension also admits such a characterization.

Briefly, given  $s \in [0, \infty)$ , an *s*-gale is a function  $d : \{0, 1\}^* \to [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $d(w) = 2^{-s}[d(w0) + d(w1)]$  for all  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ . For purposes of this paper, an *s*-gale *d* succeeds on a positive integer *n* if  $d(w) \ge 1$ , where *w* is the standard binary representation of *n*.

**Theorem 5.5 (gale characterization of zeta-dimension).** For all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \inf\{s \mid \text{there is an s-gale } d \text{ that succeeds on every element of } A\}.$ 

Our last result is a theorem on the zeta-dimensions of pointwise sums and products of sets of positive integers. For  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we use the notations  $A+B = \{a+b \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}, A * B = \{ab \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ . The first equality in the following theorem is due to Staiger [35].

**Theorem 5.6.** If  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  are nonempty, then  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A*B) = \max\{\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A), \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(B)\} \leq \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A+B) \leq \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) + \text{Dim}_{\zeta}(B)$ , and the inequalities are tight in the strong sense that, for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$  with  $\max\{\alpha, \beta\} \leq \gamma \leq \alpha + \beta$ , there exist  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A) = \alpha$ ,  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(B) = \beta$ , and  $\text{Dim}_{\zeta}(A+B) = \gamma$ .

We close with a question concerning circuit definability of sets of natural numbers, a notion introduced recently by McKenzie and Wagner [29]. Briefly, a McKenzie-Wagner *circuit* is a combinational circuit (finite directed acyclic graph) in which the inputs are singleton sets of natural numbers, and each gate is of one of five types. Gates of type  $\cup$ ,  $\cap$ , +, and \* have indegree 2 and compute set union, set intersection, pointwise sum, and pointwise product, respectively. Gates of type – have indegree 1 and compute set complement. Each such circuit *defines* the set of natural numbers computed at its designated output gate in the obvious way. The fact that 0 is a natural number is crucial in this model. Interesting sets that are known to be definable in this model include the set of primes, the set of powers of a given prime, and the set of counterexamples to Goldbach's conjecture. Is there a zero-one law, according to which every set definable by a McKenzie-Wagner circuit has zeta-dimension 0 or 1? Such a law would explain the fact that the set of perfect squares is not known to be definable by such circuits. Theorem 5.6 suggests that a zero-one law, if true, will not be proven by a trivial induction on circuits.

Acknowledgment. The third author thanks Tom Apostol and Giora Slutzki for useful discussions. We thank anonymous referees for their useful comments.

#### References

- 1. L. Adleman. Toward a mathematical theory of self-assembly. Technical report, USC, January 2000.
- 2. T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- 3. T. M. Apostol. Modular Functions and Dirichlet Series in Number Theory, volume 41 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. 1976.
- 4. K. B. Athreya, J. M. Hitchcock, J. H. Lutz, and E. Mayordomo. Effective strong dimension, algorithmic information, and computational complexity. SIAM Journal on Computing. To appear. Preliminary version appeared in Proceedings of the 21st International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, pages 632-643, 2004.
- M. T. Barlow and S. J. Taylor. Fractional dimension of sets in discrete spaces. Journal of Physics A, 22:2621–2626, 1989.
- M. T. Barlow and S. J. Taylor. Defining fractal subsets of Z<sup>d</sup>. Proc. London Math. Soc., 64:125–152, 1992.
- 7. T. Bedford and A. Fisher. Analogues of the lebesgue density theorem for fractal sets of reals and integers. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 64:95–124, 1992.
- E. Cahen. Sur la fonction ζ(s) de Riemann et sur des fonctions analogues. Annales de l'École Normale supérieure, 1894. (3) 11, S. 85.
- W. M. Conner. The dimension of a formal language. Information and Control, 29:1–10, 1975.
- J. Dai, J. I. Lathrop, J. H. Lutz, and E. Mayordomo. Finite-state dimension. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 310:1–33, 2004.
- A. deLuca. On the entropy of a formal language. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, (33):103–109, 1975. Automata Theory and Formal Languages (H. Brakhage, Ed.), Proc. 2nd GI Conference.
- 12. L. Dirichlet. Über den satz: das jede unbegrenzte arithmetische Progression, deren erstes Glied und Differenz keinen gemeinschaftlichen Factor sind, unendlichen viele Primzahlen enthalt. Mathematische Abhandlungen, 1837. Bd. 1, (1889) 313-342.
- S. Eilenberg. Automata, Languages, and Machines, volume A. Academic Press, 1974.
- L. Euler. Variae observationes circa series infinitas. Commentarii Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae, 9:160–188, 1737.
- 15. K. Falconer. Fractal Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications. Wiley, second edition, 2003.
- H. Furstenberg. Intersections of Cantor sets and transversality of semigroups. Problems in analysis. Symposium Salomon Bochner, 1969.
- G. Hansel, D. Perrin, and I. Simon. Compression and entropy. In Proceedings of the 9th Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, pages 515–528, 1992.
- G. Hardy and E. Wright. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Clarendon Press, 5th edition, 1979.
- F. Hausdorff. Dimension und äusseres Mass. Mathematische Annalen, 79:157–179, 1919.
- 20. J. M. Hitchcock. *Effective fractal dimension: foundations and applications*. PhD thesis, Iowa State University, 2003.
- I. Hueter and Y. Peres. Self-affine carpets on the square lattice. Comb. Probab., Computing., 6:197–204, 1997.

- 22. K. Knopp. Über die Abszisse der Grenzgeraden einer Dirichletschen Reihe. Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Mathematischen Gesellschaft, 1910.
- 23. K. Knopp. *Theory and Application of Infinite Series*. Dover Publications, New York, 1990. First published in German in 1921 and in English in 1928.
- W. Kuich. On the entropy of context-free languages. Information and Control, 16(2):173–200, 1970.
- 25. M. Li and P. M. B. Vitányi. An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and its Applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Second Edition.
- J. H. Lutz. Dimension in complexity classes. SIAM Journal on Computing, 32:1236–1259, 2003.
- J. H. Lutz. The dimensions of individual strings and sequences. Information and Computation, 187:49–79, 2003.
- J. H. Lutz. Effective fractal dimensions. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 51:62–72, 2005.
- 29. P. McKenzie and K. Wagner. The complexity of membership problems for circuits over sets of natural numbers. Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, pages 571–582, 2003.
- L. Olsen. Distribution of digits in integers: fractal dimension and zeta functions. Acta Arith., 105(3):253–277, 2002.
- L. Olsen. Distribution of digits in integers: Besicovitch-Eggleston subsets of N. Journal of London Mathematical Society, 67(3):561–579, 2003.
- B. Riemann. Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebener Grösse. Monatsber. Akad. Berlin, pages 671–680, 1859.
- P. W. K. Rothemund and E. Winfree. The program-size complexity of selfassembled squares (extended abstract). In STOC, pages 459–468, 2000.
- C. E. Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell System Technical Journal, 27:379–423, 623–656, 1948.
- L. Staiger. Kolmogorov complexity and Hausdorff dimension. Information and Computation, 103:159–94, 1993.
- I. Stewart. Four encounters with Sierpinski's gasket. The Mathematical Intelligencer, 17(1):52–64, 1995.
- R. S. Strichartz. Fractals in the large. Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 50(3):638-657, 1998.
- D. Sullivan. Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups. Acta Mathematica, 153:259–277, 1984.
- C. Tricot. Two definitions of fractional dimension. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 91:57–74, 1982.
- S. J. Willson. Growth rates and fractional dimensions in cellular automata. *Physica* D, 10:69–74, 1984.
- S. J. Willson. The equality of fractional dimensions for certain cellular automata. *Physica D*, 24:179–189, 1987.
- 42. E. Wirsing. Bemerkung zu der arbeit über vollkommene zahlen. Mathematische Annalen, 137:316–318, 1959.
- 43. A. K. Zvonkin and L. A. Levin. The complexity of finite objects and the development of the concepts of information and randomness by means of the theory of algorithms. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 25:83–124, 1970.